

Usilton,	Purnell,	Sanders,
Robinson,	Onley,	Fenton,
Turner,	Koons,	Ranger,
Lancaster,	Rutledge,	Jones,
Hooper,	Vandiver,	Canby,
Smith, of B. co.,	Goldsborough,	Griffith,
Given,	Rusk,	Rawlings,
Fitzjarrell,	Berkemeier,	Sprigg,
Dodson,	McWilliams,	Brooke,
Waters, of Dor.,	Hoblitzell,	Rinehart,
Ward,	Stewart,	Waters, of Car'l,
Hance,	Gill,	Clark,
Gwynn,	Loane,	Barnard—45.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Baldwin,	Smith, of Dor.,	Riggs,
Lankford,	Snowden,	Naill—7.
Ford,		

Said bill was then sent to the Senate.

The bill entitled an Act to repeal Section 1, of chapter 388, of the Acts of 1874, entitled an Act for the protection of young fish in the waters of Elk river and their tributaries, within the limits of Cecil county, and to re-enact the same with amendments,

Was read a second time and ordered to be engrossed for a third reading.

The Secretary of the Senate returned the following bill:

The bill entitled an Act making Appropriations for the support of the Government for the year ending 31st of December 1876, with proposed amendments.

Endorsed: "Passed by yeas and nays with proposed amendments."

Which was considered.

Mr. Gill moved that said amendments be non-concurred in, and proposed a message to the Senate, asking that body to recede from their proposed amendments.

Mr. Rutledge moved that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until Wednesday next, and that the proposed amendments be printed on the Journal.

The question recurring upon concurring in the motion,

Mr. Vandiver demanded the previous question,

The question then being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

It was decided in the affirmative.